

**PC-#06 Bill Wiesner Public Comment #06 – to the Leelanau County Board of Commissioners:  
Tuesday May 17, 2022 ... We Demand Honest Elections in Our Leelanau County! ... by  
Replacing Dominion Voting Machines with Paper Ballots that are local, secure, and easily auditable.**



Leelanau  
County  
Resident **Bill  
Wiesner's  
Public  
Comment.**  
(2m:45s –  
8m:00s)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AirZd2DCxRs>

\*\*\*\*\* [Then Click on "BROWSE YOUTUBE"]

**2022-05-10 Kurt Olsen election lawyer**

<https://frankspeech.com/tv/video/brannon-howse-interviews-lara-logan-coming-digital-tyranny-globalist-and-joint-information>



**Brannon Howse 04:12**

... So Kurt, give us an update. ... But give us an update on some of the fights that you are carrying out maybe an update on **some of the things related to the injunctions related to stopping the electronic voting machines.**

**Kurt Olsen Election Lawyer 04:48**

... So we filed an amended complaint on May 4 Last week in Arizona. Again, **that is a complaint to prohibit the use of electronic voting machines** ... Alan Dershowitz are on the papers and that demonstrates that this is really a nonpartisan issue. Everybody should want a free and fair and most importantly, accurate election, where people know that their vote is secure ... that the relief should be granted in this case prohibiting the use of the electronic voting machines in the upcoming election. **The standard for a preliminary injunction or for granting it is [1] to show irreparable harm [2] a likelihood of success on the merits. [3] And the public interest is at stake, all three of which we can demonstrate** so there will be an evidentiary hearing almost like a mini trial up front with expert testimony that will show exactly why these machines are neither secure nor transparent in terms of their operations, and therefore are not suitable for use in elections. And most importantly, in the upcoming 2022 election this November. **The this the first case is Arizona as I mentioned we will be filing additional cases in the coming weeks, including and likely in South Dakota, as well as Alabama, and other states such as Wisconsin, Minnesota, Colorado, just to name a few. .... We also as part of this injunction, have an alternative voting system that's totally secure. Totally Accurate. It involves hand counting, with the ballots under a camera every step of the way with secure paper and that the person who cast their vote will be able to monitor their vote as it goes through the counting process. So that each individual and this is critical, each individual will be able to be assured and they will be able to verify that their vote was accurately counted. And that's that's a critical distinction than what we have now these voting machines. They've often been called black boxes. When your ballot goes in there. You don't know what happens.** The voting machine companies and the politicians that all talk about how accurate these things are and how they've been no fraud has been found. Well, the risk limiting audits that they cite as evidence, the logic and accuracy tests that they cite, have been proven by experts



and not our own. And I'm talking for example, by **Professor Haldeman out of the University of Michigan. He has testified under oath that he can show and demonstrate proof of concept how he can he can defeat the logic and accuracy tests, the risk limiting audits and all safety procedures used currently to validate these votes ... France does not use electronic voting machines for the very reasons that we are citing here, which is that they are neither secure and never been a totally secured nor transparent. In other words, they're concerned about the cyber threat.**

**Kurt Olsen Election Lawyer 10:35**

... Many people may not know that the electronic voting machines that are in use today. **Many of their computer critical components, the circuitry, the motherboards and so forth, are either manufactured or assembled in China. So our most important endeavor that we do, as a citizen of this Republican that is elect our elected officials is in the hands of machines, whose components that tabulate the votes and determine the vote on the ballot are manufactured or assembled in China and go figure that that's a significant threat that to this day is not addressed. ...** And if you think about it in terms of our adversaries, to be able to control the outcome of an election is far far greater than any kind of commercial gain one could get by stealing proprietary data, or finding, you know, Visa or MasterCard data, you know, credit card data, things like that. **If you control the outcome of elections, you can control a country and what better way for any of our adversaries to to to actually attack us. ...**

**Brannon Howse 13:07**

**And France. France is an example. I mean, there were they had 67 million people voting over there. And they take they count their votes and get it all done in a day or so ...**

**Kurt Olsen Election Lawyer 13:15**

... **This is what we did 20 years ago**, before the advent of moving to machines for convenience. And so this process, you know, we got along fine for quite some time with you know, hundreds of millions of people casting votes in this country has been it's still done to this day and countries like France and elsewhere. So it is easily done ... all these injunctions seek is to make sure that votes are securely tabulated and transparently tabulated. **This is not about overturning the 2020 election. This is about going forward and making sure elections going forward starting with the midterm elections coming up. In November. are free and fair and accurate.**

**Kurt Olsen Election Lawyer 15:12**

... There's a book called black box voting which ironically, you can find on the CIA's Library website talks about a number of instances where there were anomalies where the votes were not accurately tabulated. **Similar to for example, in Antrim county in the November 2020 election when they found that 7200 votes were somehow given to Biden and not Trump, they were flipped. ... 2000 Mules, they did a terrific job, because it's something that is easily understood when you have on camera.** These ballot harvesters, stuffing ballot boxes and not just stuffing ballot boxes. What is what is very interesting is that they would go not just to one ballot box but they would go on a route to 10 or 20 or more ballot boxes and dropping in set numbers of ballots. **And there is strong evidence that is coming out that much of this was through there was a controlled algorithm in the machines.** We've seen evidence of it that has been recorded in what we call Mesa report three were the ballot record data. All of

a sudden there were two new databases created and this was shown in the election. Management System through a forensic image of it that two new databases were created and the ballot record data, a subset of it magically transferred into those databases and without getting in too much into the details that shows electronic manipulation of the ballots you layer in the ballot stuffing that was shown in 2000 Mules and you have a perfect storm.

**Kurt Olsen\_Election Lawyer 19:46**


... And it's it's a multifaceted attack by which these elections are being rigged. It's through the mail in votes. It's through the machines. Those are the two predominant areas that we can show can manipulate elections. I mean, even back in 2008 There's a gentleman by the name of Clint, Clint Curtis. And I encourage every one of your every one of the viewers on this show to just go out and **Google Clint Curtis rigged elections. And you'll see a short video where he is testifying under oath** at the Ohio legislature and he comes forward. He's a computer a software programmer. He comes forward he says I was retained by Representative Tom Feeney. A Republican congressman in Florida to basically rig an election can you use these computer voting machines to rig an election? He said Yes, sir. It's easy. I can make a flip 51 to 49 every day of the week. And then he was asked why would anybody be able to do it? He said no, not without getting into the source code. So this the ability to rig elections through electronic voting machines. This isn't something new. It's well known, particularly amongst software engineers and computer science types that these machines can be used to rig elections. It's it's ridiculously easy to do it. **As Professor Halderman said of all the safety procedures that supposedly ensure the integrity of the vote he's testified: I can get around them easy.** The other movie that I encourage everybody to go watch and it's posted on Friday speech, and it's **hacking America's electronic voting machines.** It's about an hour long. It is basically a montage of clips of recognized experts from Princeton from Georgetown University from University of Michigan, going through and showing exactly how the electronic voting machines can be used to rig elections and why they're not suitable to guarantee the accuracy of the vote in this country.

**Kurt Olsen\_Election Lawyer 25:08**

Watch the videos, watch 2000 Mules and watch hacking America's electronic voting system. Both of them are bombshells they really are and they will wake people up and then tell your friends about it and get them to watch it. **Because when we spread the word and people are enlightened, exactly how these elections are being rigged and stolen. That's when we can start fixing this and make sure this never happens again ...**

**2022-04-23\_ Alan Dershowitz quotes start at\_ (@27min)**

<https://frankspeech.com/video/mike-lindell-gubernatorial-candidate-kari-lake-attorney-alan-dershowitz-injunction-filed>



**Brannon Howse 27:29**

We are joined now by **Attorney Alan Dershowitz**, Mr. Dershowitz. Welcome to the Lindell report, Michael Lindell.

**Alan Dershowitz\_lawyer 27:34**

... You have to understand I'm a liberal Democrat. I voted for Joe Biden. I'm happy with the results of the election. This is not about partisan politics. This is about whether or not votes are being

properly counted for the future. And it's also about whether or not when you have a private company performing a government function. They have to be as transparent as the

government is if the government were doing the counting. Obviously, the people would have a right to know exactly what methodologies they're using, and to subject them to expert neutral analysis. And the government can't simply abdicate that responsibility by delegating the voting which is constitutional obligation to a private company, which then says no matter what's proprietary, we don't want to let you look at it. We don't want to let you see it. That denies citizens, the absolute right to hold their government accountable. Absolutely.

**Alan Dershowitz\_lawyer 29:11**

... Right now. What's happening is that voting is in a black box. And in a democracy, you know, you never say to the government can ever say to you trust me, but now these companies are saying trust me. ... And we can't be sure that our national security won't be compromised, unless we can get inside those machines and inside the black box, ...

**Brannon Howse 31:27**

**What is the legal threshold for pushing these companies over to being a quasi-public utility, sir?**

**Alan Dershowitz\_lawyer 31:35**

Well, I think we've already passed that. I think once they're given the power to determine the outcome of the election, they are no longer simply private. Parties, with the right to keep their business model a confidential once they agree to accept enormous amounts of money from the government to perform this governmental function. They are the government for purposes of transparency, disclosure, availability of the documents and material to be looked at by objective neutral experts. So they've already crossed that threshold.

**Brannon Howse 32:12**

**Doesn't that also then allow for citizens to criticize those companies as well then because you can't be sued for criticizing the government? How can then these quasi government agencies now Sue citizens for criticizing their practices?**

**Alan Dershowitz\_lawyer 32:25**

... You can't have your cake and eat it too. Right? No, no, no, we can't be the government for purposes of determining who the next president the next Governor, the next senator, the next congressman is and then say, oops, we're not the government when it comes to suing you when it comes to failing to make disclosure transparency. You're either the government or you're a private party, and if you're a private party, you can't be involved in counting votes. And if you're the government and you can't hide the mechanisms, and be allowed to sue as if you were just a private individual. ...

**Alan Dershowitz\_lawyer 34:27**

Well, no, you have to order them to open up their black box, you know, and this is an issue on which liberals and people who care about open sourcing should be on our side. It was Justice Brandeis, perhaps the most liberal justice in the history of the Supreme Court, who talked about **sunlight being the best disinfectant and sunlight in this case means open up the box. Let us see what's going on inside. Let us let others present expert witnesses who can analyze this and tell us is there a national security issues? Tell us whether or not there's a potential for affecting elections. That's the key. The key is sunlight. The key is openness. The key is accountability. ... [And that's why we need PAPER BALLOTS].**

**Bill Wiesner / Leelanau County Resident &**

[www.TCFamily.org](http://www.TCFamily.org) Founder

(231) 313-6805